



REVISED CLARIFICATIONS OF THE MOOT PROBLEM – 2012¹

1. Arpenia is the claimant and Bellomach is the respondent.
2. ‘Virtual Eye’ was patented on 1st January 2001 in Arpenia; it is an innovation of S&S, a particular product, not a common name.
3. Bellomach has not ratified International United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.
4. Arpenia is a GPA Member.
5. In paragraph 3, ‘10 years stay’ means an overall 10 years stay and not necessarily continuous.
6. Poet RA Ephrama is of Bellomachian birth and origin.
7. Arpenia got independence from Bellomach on 1st January, 1965.
8. There are 12 States, 12 High Courts and a Supreme Court in Arpenia.
9. Arpenia and Bellomach are dualistic states.

¹ In case of any conflict(s) between the ‘Moot Problem’ and the ‘Clarifications’, the ‘Clarifications’ would supersede the ‘Moot Problem’



10. Ra Epharama is in contract of service with the Bellomachian Government.
11. Arpenia is a party to Vienna Convention on Law of Treaties but Bellomach is not a party to the Vienna Convention on Law of Treaties.
12. Arpenia and Bellomach are not members of the Berne Convention.
13. Paragraph 6, line 4 and Paragraph 8 have been reworded as below:

13.1. ¶6: “....To do this, they made a public offer for bids (Refitting Contract) to re-fit the public buildings with ramps and elevators to permit access to the physically handicapped. They also decided to install “Virtual Eye” devices in all the public libraries which would help blind people read non Braille books. The government’s tender documents state the value of the Refitting contract to be B\$ 2 million...”

13.2. ¶8: Similarly the “Audio and Braille Contract” was not subject to an open tender... Rather, the government gave the Audio and Braille Contract to a Helping Limbs (“HL”), a local non- governmental, non-profit organization that helps train disabled workers.”